Applicant Organization Name:	Application Number:	RFA Name or Number:	Reviewer's Name:	North Carolina Council on Developmental Disabilities RFA 2018 Application Scoring Form Applicati
				p. #

Application (RFA). The application should include information in each section that addresses how their agency proposes to address the intent of the Request for

SCORING DIRECTIONS

Name of Initiative:

A total score of 62 (sixty-two) is possible in this review. If you believe the applicant's response does not satisfy the intent of the question in that section, assign a score of 0 (zero). If you believe the applicant's response more than satisfies the intent of the question in that section, assign a score of 2 (two). If you believe the applicant's response satisfies the intent of the question in that section, assign a score of 1 (one)

REVIEWER'S'S COMMENTS

giving feedback to applicants interested in improving grant applications in the future Please be specific with your written comments. Specific, written comments/observations (positive or negative) are important to Council staff in

NC Council on Developmental Disabilities' competitive fund releases are reviewed objectively and without bias by a team of individuals, including Council members, who are knowledgeable and have experience in the concept that is being advanced by the Council

of the RFA. The Scoring Form helps judge the quality of the applications, and to serve as a starting point for the team to make form. All applications should be scored based on the applicants' ability to clearly address how their agency will carry out the intended purpose recommendation(s) for funding The Application Scoring Form is designed as a guide to help you assess the responses received to our RFA using the questions provided in this

each application and may more easily assign a score based on those merits. application. After a second reading, review committee members normally feel much more comfortable and confident about the merits of Note: Application Review Committee members are strongly encouraged to read all applications once without assigning any scores to the

Applicant: Date of Reviev	Date of Review:	I I
PROJECT OUTLINE		
Executive Summary	Written Comments	omments
Summary provides adequate and relevant information on the purpose, nature, scope and rationale for the proposed initiative.		
Summary presents strategies that provide a framework for evidence-based, best and/or promising practices.		
Descriptive Summary Total Points:	. (Maximum Score Possible: 4)	ile: 4)
PROJECT OUTLINE		
Qualifications	Written Comments	omments
Provides history of organization and mission statement.		
Applicant demonstrates capacity to lead the initiative effectively.		
Applicant articulates commitment to target population under this RFA.		
Organizational structure of the applicant agency will support the proposed initiative.		
Applicant Agency Information Total Points:	(Maximum Score Possible: 8)	ible: 8)
	Review	Reviewer's Initials:

Applicant:	Date of Review:	*
PROJECT OUTLINE		
Detailed Narrative	Written Comments	nments
Statement of need is comprehensive.		
Statement of need provides relevant, accurate and convincing data.		
Geographic area is defined and diverse.		
Statement of need identifies target population clearly.		
Goals and objectives meet the SMART criteria.		
Statement of Need and Target Populations Total Points:	. (Maximum Score Possible: 10)	: 10)
Accomplishments	Written Comments	mments
Outcome measures are realistic and appropriate.		
Outcomes reflect overall objective to create improved lived experience for individuals with intellectual and other developmental disabilities.		
Outcomes Total Points:	. (Maximum Score Possible: 4)	: 4)
	Reviewer's Initials:	s Initials:

Applicant: Date of Reviev	Date of Review:	
COLCIME		
Methodology:	Written Comments	
Proposed resources, including staffing are adequate and appropriate.		
Proposed plan includes the five essential elements of collective		
reinforcing activities, continuous communication, and backbone support).		
Potential partners are listed.		
Proposed Personnel and Resources Total Points:	(Maximum Score Possible: 6)	
PROJECT OUTLINE		
Sustainability	Written Comments	
Preliminary sustainability plan is comprehensive and appropriate.		
Plan includes dissemination of materials, use of social media, blogs, presentations at conferences or webinars if applicable.		
Sustainability Total Points:	(Maximum Score Possible: 4)	

\pplicant:	Date of Review:
Monitor and Evaluation Strategy	Written Comments
There is a clearly defined plan for evaluating initiative activities and outcomes, including:	
Shows benefit to persons with intellectual and other developmental disabilities (I/DD).	
A description of how data will be gathered;	
A description of how results will be analyzed;	
Applicant makes a clear connection between the activities contained in the scope of work impacting the service system by identifying specific outcomes.	
Outcomes are realistic as set out in the scope of work. (e.g. the outcomes are neither too conservative for the amount of award nor too ambitious.)	
A plan for communicating the results of the initiative (i.e., sharing what is learned and success stories for individuals, organizations, and families).	
The identity of individuals who are conducting or participating in the evaluation.	

(Maximum Score Possible: 6)	Project Budget Total Points:
	Total budget is sufficient to perform the activities and meet the identified outcomes as described in the scope of work.
	Budget seems reasonable in relation to proposed activities and outcomes.
	The submitted budget is detailed, breaking down all aspects of costs and justified by an explanation within the narrative.
Written Comments	Project Budget
	BUDGET
(Maximum Score Possible: 6)	Initiative Work Plan Total Points:
	There is a clear, logical relationship between the activities and outcomes.
	Timelines for the described objectives and activities are reasonable (e.g., timelines are neither too conservative nor too ambitious).
	Plan includes full description of all activities necessary to complete initiative.
Written Comments	
	NITIATIVE/PROJECT WORK PLAN
Date of Review:	Applicant:
lication Scoring Form App. #	North Carolina Council on Developmental Disabilities RFA 2018 Application Scoring Form

North Carolina Council on Developmental Disabilities RFA 2018 Application Scoring Form	m App. #
Applicant: Date of Review:	iew:
APPLICATION SECTION SCORING (Subtotals): Executive Summary	(Maximum Score: 4)
Qualifications	(Maximum Score: 8)
Detailed Narrative	(Maximum Score: 10)
Accomplishments	(Maximum Score: 4)
Methodology	(Maximum Score: 6)
Sustainability	(Maximum Score: 4)
Monitor and Evaluation Strategy	(Maximum Score: 14)
Initiative/Project Work Plan	(Maximum Score: 6)
Project Budget	(Maximum Score: 6)
TOTAL SCORE: (Sum of Totals) (This is the total score assigned to this application by the individual Reviewer's)	(Maximum Score: 62)
REVIEWER'S RECOMMENDATION: I recommend this application for full funding.	
I recommend this application for funding with the following contingencies:	
I DO NOT recommend this application for full funding.	
Reviewer's Name (Print):	Reviewer's Signature/Date: